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A new helical aromatic oligoamide foldamer, bearing triethylene-glycol side chains for solubility in a broad range of media, was prepared. The stability of the helical conformation was assessed in various solvents and shown to vary greatly and unexpectedly. Stability was remarkably enhanced in methanol–water mixtures.

Synthetic foldamers are artificial oligomers, produced by stepwise synthesis, that adopt folded conformations resembling the structures of biopolymers. They may be constructed from chemically varied backbones, but they have shown less variety in terms of their folded structures, with helices being a highly prevalent motif. Helical folding is governed by a combination of internal and external parameters such as monomer shape and rigidity, intramolecular attractive and repulsive interactions that may be local or between units remote in a sequence, rotational restrictions, solvophobic effects, and aggregation or the presence of guest molecules. All these parameters, and thus folding, are sensitive to the nature of the solvent and foldamers are often found to fold in a limited range of media. Examples include the typical use of trifluoroethanol to stabilize short α-helices and the strong solvent dependence of oligophenylethylenes and other so-called “solvophobic foldamers”. Solvents are also critical in the case of guest-induced folding. As an exception, helical aromatic amide foldamers have been recognized as particularly robust folded architectures and qualitative evidence showed that they may fold in solvents as diverse as chloroform, toluene, methanol, DMSO, and water. This apparent lack of solvent dependence is atypical and we thus set to undertake a systematic quantitative investigation of helix stability in these systems. As described below, we discovered that helix stability of aromatic oligoamides in fact varies greatly with solvent, but not in an expected way. Chloroform, the solvent in which these foldamers are generally studied and found to have very stable conformations, is one of the least favourable solvents. In contrast, protic solvents lead to a dramatic stabilization of helical conformations.

The folding of aromatic oligoamide foldamers rests primarily on backbone rigidity due to local electrostatic repulsions and hydrogen bonds between adjacent units and, for multiturn helices, on intramolecular aromatic stacking which may include electrostatic and solvophobic components. We selected an octameric oligoamide of 2-quinolinecarboxylic acid, one of the most robust helical aromatic amide foldamers (Scheme 1). In this series, an octamer forms a helix spanning over three turns with right-handed (P) or left-handed helicity (M).

For the purpose of this study, a new monomer was equipped with a triethylene glycol side chain at position 4 in order to provide solubility in a wide range of solvents. As detailed in the ESI†, the synthesis proceeded in a classical manner through dimeric, tetrameric and hexameric intermediates before giving octamer I. A crystal structure of the dimeric intermediate was obtained and is shown in Fig. 1 to illustrate the relative size and position of each monomer and its side-chain in a more or less extended form. Most ethylene glycol units are found in a gauche conformation.

**Fig. 1** Crystal structure of a dimeric intermediate in the synthesis of I.
In order to assess the helix stability of 1, we used a previously described method based on the separation of its P and M conformational enantiomers by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase (CHIRALPACK IA). This separation is allowed by the exceptional stability of these helices which do not significantly racemize during chromatographic separation carried out at low temperature. The solvent conditions previously used for similar oligomers bearing isobutoxy side chains (n-hexane/chloroform 75:25, v/v) did not separate the P and M conformers of 1. After some optimization we found that using chloroform/2-propanol (25:75, v/v) at −5 °C as the mobile phase results in a good separation (Fig. 2a). The positive sign of the circular dichroism (CD) trace at 385 nm indicates that the positive peak which elutes first corresponds to the P helix. This is opposite to previous results on oligomers having isobutoxy side chains for which the first fraction to elute was that of the M helix. Changing the side chains to triethylene-glycol and the solvent composition of the mobile phase thus resulted in a reversal of retention times. The P-1 fraction can be collected and evaporated at −5 °C, during which the P helix does not racemize significantly, yielding a solid which can be stored.

Samples of P-1 were then redissolved in various solvents, and its racemization was monitored over time using CD spectroscopy. A typical example is shown in Fig. 2b. A slow decay of CD intensity was observed in MeOH; meanwhile the UV-vis absorption spectrum remains constant. As explained in our earlier report, the equilibrium between P-1 and M-1 must involve at least partially unfolded intermediates. The activation barrier of helix handedness inversion thus reflects the energy difference between a folded and a partially unfolded state. The racemization kinetics are a direct and quantitative indication of helix stability. As shown in Fig. 2c and d, the decay of CD intensity can be fitted to single order kinetics and the half-life of helix handedness inversion can be extracted. Table 1 summarizes data obtained for the racemization of 1 at 30 °C.

The results show that the kinetics of handedness inversion of 1 span about four orders of magnitude which reflects a strong solvent dependence. In this respect, aromatic amides seem not to differ from most foldamers. However, the trend is unexpected: 1 is found to be the least stable in chloroform, the solvent in which the helical structures of aromatic amides including 8-amino-2-quinolinecarboxylic acid oligomers have most often been characterized. It remains that, even in chloroform, the half-life of helix handedness inversion is still 6 min, which is several orders of magnitude more stable than e.g. peptidic helices such as AIB oligomers which interconvert on the millisecond timescale. Less polar solvents (toluene, Et2O) enhance helix stability, which can be understood as a reinforcement of the effect of polar interactions such as hydrogen-bonding and electrostatic repulsions. Yet a polar solvent such as DMF leads to a similar enhancement.

The most striking effect is that of protic media. The half-life of helix handedness inversion jumps by about two orders of magnitude in alcohols and by another one in 80:20 MeOH/H2O. In 50:50 MeOH/H2O, P-1 shows marginal racemization after
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**Table 1** First order kinetic constant and half-life of helix handedness inversion of 1 in various solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>K/10⁻¹</th>
<th>t₁/₂/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHCl₃</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et₂O</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Propanol</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95:5 MeOH/H₂O</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80:20 MeOH/H₂O</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50:50 MeOH/H₂O</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Determined by monitoring the decay of CD intensity of P-1. b vol/vol. c Too slow to be determined.
one day at 30 °C. Reliable measurements could not be carried out in the presence of larger amounts of water because of precipitation, as indicated by a decrease of UV absorbance over time. Prototic solvents are known to compete with intramolecular hydrogen-bonding and generally destabilize structures held by hydrogen bonds. Proton solvents also induce solvophobic effects, which are presumably the reason for the enhanced conformational stability of 1 in these media. Indeed, the three helical turns of 1 give rise to extensive intramolecular π–π stacking between quinoline rings which may result in hydrophobic effects.

Aggregation, for example double helix formation, could also be a factor that influences helix handedness inversion rates. However, this can be ruled out in all but one of the solvents used here. Indeed, 1H NMR spectra show very sharp lines with little solvent or concentration dependence of chemical shift values, characteristic of a unimolecular species. The only exception is 50:50 CD3OD/CD2O vol/vol for which some line broadening and upfield shifts of the signals suggest some micellization, possibly due to the hydrophobic character of the terminal quinolines that are exposed to the solvent (Fig. 3).

In summary, the results above delineate an extreme conformational stability of helical aromatic oligoamides in protic solvents. They also call for additional investigations to determine whether the effect of the solvent on helix stability varies with the surface involved in intramolecular π–π stacking (i.e. oligomer length), and with interactions between stacked quinoline units (e.g. presence of electron withdrawing substituents). These studies are in progress and will be reported in due course.
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Notes and references

§ Crystal data: (C₆H₄N₂O₁₀), M = 764.78, T = 213(2) K, orthorhombic, space group Pbc2₁, a = 7.3608 (15), b = 24.153 (5), c = 40.044 (8) Å, V = 7119 (2) Å³, Dₓ = 1.228 g cm⁻³, Z = 8, F(000) = 3216, 19954 reflections measured, 5345 independent reflections (Rint = 0.05), refinement on F² against all reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit GOF are based on F², GOF = 1.07, R(F² > 2σ(F²)) = 0.059, wR(F²) = 0.163. Hydrogen site locations were inferred from neighbouring sites, H-atom parameters constrained, 5345 reflections used for refinement, 933 parameters and 2 restraints.